UNIVERSITY OF *Minnesota*

MEDICAL SCHOOL
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

July 2, 1969

Dear Harold,

I am a bit excited about having found another supporter who may be some help. Apparently you and I turned him on together. He heard me on the radio several years ago and then came to hear you at the U. after having read Lane's book. Then he came to hear me in downtown Mpls. and ordered your books from Dell and eventually got in touch with you. His name is Dr. Rholl, and you probably remember it. He did a bit of trouble shooting in regard to your books but came up with nothing conclusive. He called me the other day and wanted to take me to lunch, and we went out to lunch yesterday. He appears honest (if such can be judged) and is fascinated by the case. He wants to do what he can by using his many contacts in the medical world to put questions to doctors in various places. He is interested in the Rose Cherami thing since he can't believe that anyone knowing about it would not make sure it was public knowledge, so I am supplying him with two names to see what he does. This will be a good test to begin with. He is a radiologist by training so keep that in mind too. In addition, he is a close friend of the brother of one of our more important congressmen out here, and has just written him a really fantastic letter asking him to meet with me and see the slides.

On Vince, I understand your views, and I think that I understand psychologically why Vince did what he did. It was unfortunate, but that will always be water under the bridge. I would like however, to do anything else I can to help get back that money that the office owes you. My last letter to you, mailed airmail yesterday, fills you in on Dave. For God's sake be very cautious in not over-interpreting any of the info it contains with regard to Paul, since making money saving deals for the printing out of archives documents which are not secret and which are available anyway with Lifton is an entirely different thing with being careless with information--and I would find it very hard to believe that Paul could be guilty of the latter.

Check on the Ray stuff. I file it all away. Good luck with it, and thanks for keeping me informed. Although I rarely say so, it means a great deal to me that you keep me up to date on such things, and perhaps it may occasionally pay dividends in that I can discuss these things with you without extensive briefing once a problem arises.

As you probably know, I am fascinated by DeMohrenschildt, and in fact, last Christmas in the archives was devoted a great deal to documents dealing with him. I will be glad to fulfull any requests you have, but you did not say who has these items, or where these people are. Are they in the Twin Cities or Dallas, or somewhere else? I will be only too glad to do this.

I wrote to my new English contact, Mike Masterman, and told him what would be required of him if he is to work with you on this thing. He sounds like a good man, and is energetic.

As you know, I am on Hargis' mailing list, and the activities of his group are amazing. They do a great deal world-wide, and make many trips abroad, and I have been wondering for some time whether or not they supply info to federal agencies.

I will try to get off the letter to Menaker. I have done far too little of that in this case because I am so busy. Actually, I am in a better position than almost anyone to write for several reasons: I am largely unknown outside of the Midwest and yet know the case quite well, I write on stationary from a top med school--Dept. of psychiatry and neurology, which covers the medical end, etc. Your letter to George was a good one. Those guys up there are sincere and hardworking, and all they need is work to do. Harry Haapanen, on their committee, knows the volumes very well--well enough for Lifton to admit fear of him, and thus far he has only done that about me and you.

It now looks like the Fred-Lifton campaign against you has ended, especially from Fred's end, and that Fred is a bit embarrassed by it, sorry it happened, and drawing back a bit from Lifton. In my last letter to him I made note of the fact that Lifton frequently uses other people to pump for info or use others' time and knowledge, and that should sting a bit
Fred sent me a nice letter today, with a clipping about a free psych clinic (we are setting up one here) which was refreshing. He said that Lillian said that you could use her stuff on RFK in Coup What it amounts to is that the car behind the hotel, Calif. plates--JPT-343, belonged to a kitchen employee who worked in that kitchen, and the car was just where it should have been for a getaway (with Sirhan's car blocks away), and the keys were in Sirhan's pocket, and the kitchen employee was on the SS list as dangerous to the president. In addition, there is some indication that Sirhan might have told someone that he "knew someone in the kitchen." I have asked Fred or Lillian to send you xeroxes. I can if they don't, but theirs will be so much better than mine, it is wort waiting a few extra days.

Notes on my coup memo: that anti-smut clipping was forgotten, and then lost. What it said was "Anti-smut film too smutty for showing in Minneapolis."

I could dig up references to the "available literature" on homosexuality, but it would take some time, unless you just want references to books. There is much written, but much of it is rot. For instance, Nunberg, H. "Homosexuality, Magic and Aggression." in Practice and Theory of Psychoanalysis, pp150-64 Nervous and Mental Disease Monographs, Nervous & Mental Disease Pub. Co.,NY, 1948:says from case studies that aggressive impulses are not uncommon in homosexuality, and that this type of homosexuality is often also characterized by paranoia, or at least closer to paranoia, and then he gives a elaborate Freudian explanation. Now, this to me, means little in terms of scientific evidence, although it is cited as such in the Handbook of Psychiatry by Silvano Arieti (the prick who made that early statement that LHO was like all the other assassins alientated, etc.) quoted the first week in all the Dallas papers). While this means little to me it is a basic text. Another basic text, Noyes and Kolb, Modern Clinical Psychiatry, 5th ed. Saunders, Phila., 1958 says about the same thing, and in addition, notes that a high percentage of paranoid schizophrenics have never married, something they attribute to a "basic homosexual orientation"and to anger and hostility which is chronic in them. Freud first introduced the idea that paranoid states represent repressed homosexuality: Freud, Sigmund (1911) "Psycho-analytic notes upon an autobiographical Account of a case of paranoia (Dementia paranoides)" in Collected Papers, Vol.II, pp. 385-470, Basic Books, NY, 1959, but there is no proof for this. Homosexuality (Arieti, p575) is sometimes encountered among psychopaths. H. Ellis, in his Studies in the Psychology of Sex, Random House, NY, 1942, noted that homosexuality and sado-masochism often odcurs with homosexuality. (i.e. probably Shaw and Ferrie both). A psychological problem which may be of even more interest to us is that of paranoia. While there are many varieties of paranoids, all generally have chronic anger and hostility, and those are the most general symptoms of the state. The MMPI scale for paranoia has mostly items dealing with anger and hostility. The critics have always, if making any note of it at all in discussions, noted that a person under the delusion that JFK was a member of the Int. Commie Conspiracy, might have reason to kill him. Far more relevent is that a paranoid (paranoid schizophrenia, paranoid,state, or paranoia) would tend to be hostile an angry, something which could lead to an assassination if he were also action-oriented. Paranoids, for instance, contrary to popular belief, don't tend to run scared, but rather are those who fight back. They like litigation, collect facts to support their views, etc. This is why typical psychiatrists assume that the critics are paranoids--the fact that we claim to have much evidence means nothing, since all paranoids do that. Believe me, I have encountered this. The main problem is that psychiatry is not in any way a science, and most of the writing is theoretical. Psychology has a scientific orientation, but that means that there is much it hasn't studied. What I gave you in my notes was my summation of what I had read plus the clinical experience of myself and my collegues--not anything to be found inone source. Some of it was dervived from a general knowledge of psychopathology and personality. Another thing which shouldn't be over looked, by the way, is psychopathy. A psychopath seems to feel no guilt or anxiety at breaking the law or killing someone, and would do it for money or some small reason. People tend to forget that there is such a thing, and it isn't just in a rare killed, but a trait shared in part by many of those on the other side of the law, or some of those in right wing group and probably many of those among mercenary groups.

What still puzzles me is why the typing has to be real nice for an offset camera, if you are trying for commercial publishing. Would they be using offset?

On your 6/28/69: I have a girl fluent in Spanish who can translate anything. She may be able to get someone for just about any dialect. I can read some Spanish myself. So send the stuff on.

On your letter to Paul, those wrist scare interested me, but I got (as usual) sidetracked from them several years ago. If you have anything you want to know, and can provide me with some data on them (I just don't have time to do it myself), I am a close friend with a suicide expert (one of the best, by the way) and know something about it myself. We have a suicide prevention center out here, which I will serve on next year. 1

On your 6/29 to George Abbott. This is embarrassing for me, since they had a good newsletter, and I told many people to send them money for the mailing list newsletter, and also for back issues. All have gotten only one newsletter, and none of the back ones. Rholl was one of those who apparently wasted his dollar or whatever he sent.

On the Weaver Pics, I will try to get some more, and this time have them fixed. Ted, the photo guy, made only a couple, and I had to send one to Fred for loaning the negative, and then wanted one for my briefcase, and one safe one for my file. The rest he did, while experimenting to get the shading right, he did not fix. When I get a fixed copy I will send it. Right now it is going to Patsy to see what he can do with the details in the window. We just have to get more of that type of stuff for him to do. If there is anything there, he can bring it out. He should be used for only such work, since my other guy can do regular copying. 2

Thanks for all the ideas on Dallas. It would help if you could think of some more specific questions about LHO's autopsy, or the others. There is much I could ask Rose, but it would be largely a waste of his time, and might make him less interested in helping or granting interviews in the future. Tomlinson is a good idea. 3

Will try Paul on the tramp stuff. I just sent him a copy of the Z film. I need a better idea of what to ask Mrs. Joanna Rogers, if you have them. Otherwise, I will go at it on my own notes. Check on Chaney. 4

Agreed on the Councillor, but I figured that they may have used a number of those as samples and relied heavily on that. Let's face it, they need subscribers. I was afraid of choosing the wrong issue for a sample, and also wanted to ask about that article of theirs. I just got my first issue, the most recent, and advise you to subscribe immediately. There are tons of things in each issue which are useful. The full name of their gunman in JFK is Santana--it is complete in that box at the bottom: "Louisiana politician linked to Adam Clayton Powell" (Edwards--also call McKeithen a "black power politician") and "The Truth About that Wiretap on Martin Luther King" which claims that he was in touch with a subversive in NY and they say that they will give his name next week. For three dollars per year, you would save much in xeroxing and postage. 5

On CD 1330, I am certain that I had one copy, and that it disappeared, and that I ordered another, which didn't arrive until I pushed them on it. Quick look at archives correspondence somewhat backs this up. 6

I sent none of that Fisher stuff to Paul, although I did mention that people had been in touch.

On the last train stuff, look it up in the Itek analysis, in terms of the photos with integrated images.

On the Councilor, if you do not subscribe, I recommend that Dick send it to you, not that I do. I am and will be short of time all summer, and there is much more I could do for you with any time available. Then there will be the Dallas trip. 7

Back to Dallas for a moment. I appreciate all your suggestions. What I would greatly appreciate in terms of future suggestions, would be names, addresses and phone numbers if you have them, and some things which I must cover if I interview them. I am very short of time and will not have the time to do the kind of background work I should, and with so much to do, don't want to just trust my memory. Then I can make cards to use, and Olson and I can discuss them on the way down. 8

Edelman found a little in the NY phone books on Minerva Machinery (Kara-pat) and I hope to include it in my memo which I can't do until I get 1330. (By the way, I am certain that I had 1330 because I know all about it, what is in it, and saw Kara-Pat's photo in it when I got it xeroxed.) Edelman wrote me that "a recent Times article referred to a group of right-wing students who were recently in Chicago. They held strategy sessions at which counter-measures against SDS were discussed. The leader of this group was Edward Butler III--the same Butler from the Oswald Debate." 9

Well, I've got to go. Take it easy. Best wishes.

Gary